

Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

A286, 85

So 8.

Copy 2

SOUTH BEND-LAPORTE-ELKHART, INDIANA, MILK MARKET NEWS

Federal Order No. 67
U. S. Department of Agriculture
Agricultural Marketing Service
Dairy Division

Market Administrator
116 S. William Street
P. O. Box 216
South Bend 24, Indiana

Issued For Information of Producers
Who Are Not Members of Cooperative Associations

Vol. III, No. 10

October 1959

SEPTEMBER UNIFORM PRICE \$4.17

Dairy farmers will receive a uniform price of \$4.17 for September 1959 deliveries of Grade A milk to handlers under the South Bend-LaPorte-Elkhart, Indiana, milk order. The uniform price is based upon a butterfat test of 3.5 percent, and will be adjusted by a differential of 7.5 cents for each one tenth percent that tests vary above or below the 3.5 percent standard. This minimum price is subject to a 3 cent per hundredweight marketing service deduction, together with the authorized hauling charge.

PRODUCER RECEIPTS

Producer receipts are almost 5 percent higher on a daily basis from the August delivery period. This is a little unusual in the production pattern of this market, because October or November are the low production months, and the trend in September is usually toward the low months. Several years of experience with the base-excess plan has, no doubt, had its effect on the seasonal pattern of production. Compared with production of a year ago, it is up 5.6 percent, but this can be attributed to an increase by the addition of one handler to the pool. Other handlers have quit during the year, but their producers were kept on the market by the purchasers.

CLASS I SALES

Summer vacations being over and children back in school, a large increase of 10 percent in Class I sales is noted from the August delivery period. The increase over a year ago is only 4.5 percent, but when one additional handler is accounted for compared to last year, the daily increase would be very slight. Of the total producer receipts, 84 percent were classified as Class I, which is a high utilization percentage compared to other nearby markets.

BASE REGULATIONS

All producers requesting "new producer status", or having less than 90 days production received by a handler in the months of September, October, November, and December 1959, will be paid on the following basis for April, May, June, and July 1960:

April delivery period	79% at "base"; 21% at "excess"
May delivery period	72% at "base"; 28% at "excess"
June delivery period	75% at "base"; 25% at "excess"
July delivery period	78% at "base"; 22% at "excess"

All producers who wish to request a "new producer status" for their earned base, must have their WRITTEN REQUEST in the office of the Federal Milk Market Administrator, P. O. Box 216, South Bend 24, Indiana, by February 15, 1960.

Administrative
115 E. 11th Street
New York, N.Y.
20003

Department of Agriculture
Washington, D.C.
20250

Report for the Department of Agriculture
The New York State of Agriculture

Page 1

Vol. 1, No. 1
State of New York
1961

The following information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture. The information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture. The information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture.

Summary

The following information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture. The information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture. The information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture.

Conclusions

The following information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture. The information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture. The information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture.

Recommendations

The following information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture. The information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture. The information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture.

1. The following information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture. The information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture. The information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture.

2. The following information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture. The information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture. The information was obtained from the New York State Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industry, in connection with the investigation of the New York State of Agriculture.

UTILIZATION AND CLASSIFICATION OF MILK

	September 1959 Reported Data		September 1958 Audited Data	
	Product Pounds	Butterfat Pounds	Product Pounds	Butterfat Pounds
CLASS I				
Milk	14,589,367	517,588	14,212,460	507,062
Skim Milk	202,027	484	1,015,314	18,622
Fortified Skim Milk	1,233,590	25,440	227,080	3,745
Buttermilk	181,998	1,665	159,275	1,396
Flavored Milk & Drink	654,773	19,831	498,800	14,793
Cereal Mixture	300,984	36,969	304,497	37,498
Coffee Cream	28,343	5,701	31,115	6,195
Whipping Cream	33,015	12,335	36,373	13,412
Sour Cream	23,239	4,536	13,441	2,603
Total Class I Utilization	17,247,336	624,549	16,498,355	605,326
Add: Class I Shrinkage	86,624	7,465	66,308	6,387
Subtract: Other Source Receipts	62,377	2,367	11,605	1,199
Subtract: Overage	--	--	15	15
Net Class I	17,271,583	629,647	16,553,043	610,499
CLASS II				
Used to Produce Cottage Cheese	1,129,071	14,658	1,353,860	13,882
Subtract: Other Source Receipts	22,037	743	144	144
Subtract: Overage	--	--	2,235	--
Net Class II	1,107,034	13,915	1,351,481	13,738
CLASS III				
Class III Products	2,108,509	107,389	3,860,753	200,923
Inventory Variation	69,721	5,454	(132,595)	(5,586)
Total Class III Utilization	2,178,230	112,843	3,728,158	195,337
Add: Class III Shrinkage	241,304	11,117	280,492	9,957
Subtract: Other Source Receipts	208,270	16,990	2,433,066	102,829
Subtract: Overage	28,722	114	13,154	623
Net Class III	2,182,542	106,856	1,562,430	101,842
TOTAL PRODUCER RECEIPTS	20,561,159	750,418	19,466,954	726,079

MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION

	September 1959	August 1959	September 1958
Total Production	20,561,159#	20,242,238#	19,466,954#
Average Daily Production	685,372#	652,975#	648,898#
Sept. 1959 Prod. Compared With -	--	+ 4.96%	+ 5.62%
Number of Producers	1,254	1,219	1,290
Daily Herd Production	547#	536#	503#
Av. Test of Producer Milk Rec'd.	3.64969%	3.61477%	3.72980%
Av. Price 3.5% Milk	\$ 4.17	\$ 4.03	\$ 3.91
Chicago 92 Score Butter	\$.62730	\$.60190	\$.60250
Class I Sales	17,247,336#	16,200,677#	16,498,355#
Class I Daily Average	574,911#	522,602#	549,945#
Sept. 1959 Sales Compared With -	--	+ 10.01%	+ 4.54%
Total Value Paid Producers	\$878,481.28	\$830,976.09	\$794,321.87
Av. Monthly Milk Check Per Farm	\$ 700.54	\$ 681.69	\$ 615.75
Av. Daily Milk Check Per Farm	\$ 23.35	\$ 21.99	\$ 20.53
Producer Butterfat Differential	\$.075	\$.072	\$.072

